

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 313–315

Total synthesis of (9S,12R,13S)-pinellic acid^{$\dot{\alpha}$}

Gowravaram Sabitha,* E. Venkata Reddy, M. Bhikshapathi and J. S. Yadav

Organic Division I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

Received 24 August 2006; revised 23 October 2006; accepted 1 November 2006 Available online 28 November 2006

Abstract—The total synthesis of (9S,12R,13S)-pinellic acid, a novel and potentially useful oral adjuvant, isolated from Pinelliae tuber has been accomplished.

 $© 2006$ Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Influenza is caused by viruses, which usually affect the general population in a cyclical fashion every year as the seasons change. While once influenza was a very serious illness, today it is primarily dangerous to the elderly and the very young. Influenza virus infection is sometimes critical for patients with respiratory diseases such as bronchial, asthma and immunosuppressive syndromes such as AIDS. Therefore, the development of effective adjuvants for influenza vaccines is necessary to enhance the potency of the vaccine.

All the possible isomers of pinellic acid were isolated from an oriental medicine, *Pinellae tuber*. Pinellic acid is a novel and potentially useful oral adjuvant when used in conjunction with intranasal inoculation of influenza HA vaccines.^{[1](#page-2-0)} The absolute configurations of the pinel-lic acids were determined by Omura and co-workers,^{[2](#page-2-0)} who were the first to attempt the total synthesis of all isomers of pinellic acid. Among the C9-isomers of pinellic acid, 9S-compounds showed much stronger activity compared with the 9R-compounds. Thus, the stereochemistry at the C-9 hydroxyl group is very important for adjuvant activity. Among the 9S-derivatives, the adjuvant activities of the 13S-compounds were stronger

Keywords: Total synthesis; Natural product; Influenza; Propargyl alcohol.

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.11.004

than those of the 13R-compounds. However, the stereochemistry of the C-12 hydroxyl group was not important for adjuvant activity.[3](#page-2-0) This stimulated us to investigate the synthesis of pinellic acid 1.

Our strategy for the synthesis of pinellic acid 1 involved disconnecting the carbon backbone at C11–C12, thus dividing the target into two key fragments C1–C11 2 and C12–C18 3. Fragments 2 and 3 can be obtained from alkyl halide 4 and allylic alcohol 5, respectively.

The known allylic alcohol $5⁴$ $5⁴$ $5⁴$ was converted into methoxy methyl ether 6 in 90% yield by treatment with 2 equiv of Hunig's base and 3 equiv of MOMCl for 2 h at room temperature. Olefin 6 was subjected to ozonolysis to give the required aldehyde 3 in 80% yield.^{[5](#page-2-0)} The IR spectrum of 3 showed a strong absorption band at 1734 cm^{-1} for the aldehyde group (Scheme 1).

Propargyl alcohol 7 was treated with bromide 4 in liquid ammonia and Li at -33 °C to give compound 8 in 70% yield. Acetylenic alcohol 8 was reduced with 1.5 equiv of $LiAlH₄$ in refluxing dry THF to afford the *trans*-allylic alcohol 9 in 80% yield.

The E-allyl alcohol 9 was subjected to Sharpless asym-metric epoxidation^{[6](#page-2-0)} by treatment with 0.2 equiv of

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) MOMCl, DIPEA, 2 h, 90%; (b) O_3 , DMS, DCM, -78 °C–rt, 3 h, 80%.

 $*$ IICT Communication No: 060821.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +91 40 27160512; e-mail: [sabitha@](mailto:sabitha@ iictnet.org) [iictnet.org](mailto:sabitha@ iictnet.org)

L-(+) DET, 0.2 equiv of $Ti(OⁱPr)₄$ and 1.5 equiv of TBHP (3.3 M in toluene) in dry DCM at -24 °C to afford epoxy alcohol 10 in 80% yield. Epoxy alcohol 10 was converted into the corresponding epoxy chloride 11 in 90% yield by using TPP and \overline{NaHCO}_{3} in dry $CCl₄$ at reflux for 4 h. Accordingly, compound 11 was subjected to reaction with lithium amide in liquid ammonia at -33 °C for 4 h to afford the chiral propargyl alcohol 12 in 76% yield. The secondary hydroxyl functionality of compound 12 was then protected as its methoxymethyl ether to afford compound 2 in 90% yield (Scheme 2).

After successful completion of the syntheses of the two fragments C1–C11 2 and C12–C18 3, our next aim was to couple the fragments (Scheme 3). MOM protected alkyne 2 was lithiated using *n*-BuLi at -78 °C followed by addition of aldehyde 3 to afford an 18:2 mixture of diastereoisomers in favour of 13 in 70% yield.[7](#page-2-0) Alkyne 13 was reduced to trans-allyl alcohol 14 using $LiAlH₄$ in dry THF at reflux. Compound 14 was protected as its methoxymethyl ether using Hunig's base and MOMCl in dry DCM at room temperature to afford alkene 15 in 90% yield.⁸

Deprotection of the THP group of compound 15 with catalytic PPTS in methanol at room temperature yielded 16 in 80% yield.^{[9](#page-2-0)} Alcohol 16 was oxidized with DMP re-agent in dry DCM to afford aldehyde 17 in 85% yield.^{[10](#page-2-0)} Oxidation of 17 with NaClO₂ and NaH₂PO₄ in DMSO and water afforded the corresponding acid 18 in 79% yield. Finally, acid 18 was subjected to MOM deprotection using a catalytic amount of PTSA in THF to afford the target compound 1 in 70% yield (Scheme 3).

In conclusion, we have accomplished the total synthesis of $(9S, 12R, 13S)$ -pinellic acid.^{[11](#page-2-0)} Further, synthesis of other stereoisomers is under progress.

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) Li, liq. NH₃, Fe(NO₃)₃, $-33 \degree$ C, 6 h, 70%; (b) LiAlH₄, dry THF 0 \degree C–reflux, 2 h, 80%; (c) (+) DET, $Ti(O^iPr)_4$, dry DCM, TBHP, $-24 °C$, 4 h, 80%; (d) TPP, dry CCl₄, reflux, 4 h, 90%; (e) Li, liq. NH₃, Fe(NO₃)₃, $-33 °C$, 1 h, 76%; (f) MOMCl, DIPEA, dry DCM, 0 °C–rt, 2 h, 90%.

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) *n*-BuLi, HMPA, dry THF, -78 °C, 2 h, 70% (mixture 18:2); (b) LiAlH₄, dry THF 0 °C–reflux, 2 h, 80%; (c) MOMCl, DIPEA, dry DCM, 0 °C–rt, 2 h, 90%; (d) MeOH, PPTS, 6 h, 80%; (e) DMP, dry DCM, 1 h, 85%; (f) DMSO, NaH₂PO₄, NaClO₂, H₂O, 4 h, 79%; (g) PTSA, THF, 10 h, 70%.

E.V.R. and M.B. thank CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of Fellowships.

References and notes

- 1. Nagai, T.; Kiyohara, H.; Munakata, K.; Shirahata, T.; Sanazuka, T.; Harigaya, Y.; Yamada, H. Int. Immunopharm. 2002, 2, 1183–1193.
- 2. Sanazuka, T.; Shirahata, T.; Yoshida, K.; Yamamoto, D.; Harigaya, Y.; Nagai, T.; Kiyohara, H.; Yamada, H.; Omura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1265–1268.
- 3. Shirahata, T.; Sanazuka, T.; Yoshida, K.; Yamamoto, D.; Harigaya, Y.; Nagai, T.; Kiyohara, H.; Yamada, H.; Kuwajima, I.; Omura, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2003, 13, 937–941.
- 4. Sabitha, G.; Bhikshapathi M.; Yadav, J. S. Synthetic Commun., in press.
- 5. Pappa, J. J.; Kaveney, W. P.; Gancher, E.; Berger, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 4273–4278.
- 6. (a) Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5974–5976; (b) Rossiter, B. E.; Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 464–465; (c) Sharpless, K. B.; Woodward, S. S.; Finn, M. G. Pure Appl. Chem. 1983, 55, 1823–1836.
- 7. Chun, J.; Byun, H.-S.; Bittman, R. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 348–354.
- 8. Lian-Sheng, Li.; Yu-Lin, Wu. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 9049– 9054.
- 9. Miyasita, M.; Yoshikoshi, A.; Grieco, P. A. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3274–3772.
- 10. Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155– 4156.
- 11. Spectral data for selected compounds: (2S)-2-(Methoxymethoxy)heptanal (3): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -60.5 (c 1, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 2930, 2860, 1734, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ 9.51 (d, 1H, $J = 2.3$ Hz), 4.63 (dd, 2H, $J = 6.8$, 12.8 Hz), 3.75 (dt, 1H, $J = 2.2$, 6.7 Hz), 3.33 $(s, 3H)$, 1.58 (q, 2H, $J = 7.5$, 14.3 Hz), 1.38–1.20 (m, 6H),

0.84 (t, 3H, $J = 6.7$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 200.4, 96.2, 75.2, 56.0, 33.9, 32.5, 31.3, 24.7, 13.9. ESIMS: 175 $(M^+ + 1)$.

2-[(9S)-9-(Methoxymethoxy)-10-undecynyl]oxytetrahydro-2H-pyran (2): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 72.4$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ 4.92 (d, 1H, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 4.56–4.52 $(m, 2H)$, 4.25 (t, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 3.82–3.50 (m, 3H), 3.36– 3.30 (m, 4H), 2.38 (d, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 2.04–1.33 (m, 20H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 98.6, 93.8, 82.4, 67.4, 65.2, 62.1, 55.4, 35.4, 30.6, 29.3, 29.2, 29.0, 26.0, 25.6, 25.33, 24.7, 19.5, 14.0; ESIMS: 335 (M++Na).

 $(6S, 7R, 10S)$ -6,10-Di(methoxymethoxy)-18-(tetrahydro-2H-2-pyranyloxy)-8-octadecyn-7-ol (13): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -44.5 (c
1, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 3432, 2927, 2360, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ 4.90 (d, 1H, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 4.75–4.60 (Abq, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 4.53 (t, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 4.38–3.60 (m, 5H), 3.43 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 1.90–1.30 (m, 31H), 0.93 (t, 3H, $J = 6.7$ Hz). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 98.8, 97.6, 97.4, 94.1, 84.8, 84.6, 83.5, 83.0, 67.6, 65.7, 65.3, 62.2, 55.8, 55.5, 35.7, 31.6, 31.4, 30.8, 29.4, 29.2, 26.2, 25.5, 25.3, 24.8, 22.4, 19.6, 13.9; ESIMS: 509 $(M^+ + 23)$. $(6S, 7R, 8E, 10S)$ -6,10-Di(methoxymethoxy)-18-(tetra-

hydro-2H-2-pyranyloxy)-8-octadecen-7-ol (14): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -38.6 (c 1, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 3432, 2927, 2360, 1620, 1032 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMR (CDCL, 200 MHz): δ , 5.60, 5.55 (m) 2H ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ 5.60–5.55 (m, 2H, olefinic), 4.90–4.60 (m, 5H), 4.10–3.50 (m, 7H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 1.90–1.30 (m, 29H), 0.93 (t, 3H, $J = 6.7$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 132.7, 131.2, 98.8, 97.5, 93.7, 76.4, 73.9, 73.6, 67.6, 62.3, 55.8, 35.7, 31.9, 31.7, 31.3, 31.0, 30.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 26.2, 25.5, 25.4, 22.5, 19.7, 13.9; ESIMS: 511 $(M^+ + 23)$.

(9S,10E,12R,13S)-9,12,13-Trihydroxy-10-octadecenoic acid (1): $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 7.9$ (c 0.5, MeOH, lit. $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 7.9$ (c 0.18, MeOH); IR (KBr): 3432, 2928, 2369, 1620, 1032 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMP (CDCL + DMSO, 300 MHz): δ 5.60, 5.55 (m) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃ + DMSO, 300 MHz): δ 5.60–5.55 (m, 2H, olefinic), 4.12–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.42–3.39 (m, 1H) 2.32 (t, 2H, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 1.73–1.26 (m, 22H), 0.91, (t, 3H, $J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}$); ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz): δ 178.4, 136.5, 131.4, 76.4, 75.3, 73.4, 38.5, 36.2, 33.2, 30.7, 30.3, 30.1, 29.8, 26.3, 26.2, 26.0, 23.5, 14.2; ESIMS: 353 $(M^+ + 23)$.